



The University of Vermont

Quantifying the Vulnerability of Vermont Bridges to Seismic Loading

John E. Lens, Mandar M. Dewoolkar, Eric M. Hernandez




Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, College of Engineering and Mathematical Sciences, The University of Vermont

Project Motivation

Quantifying seismic vulnerability of Vermont's bridges is vital for managing the state's transportation system to improve disaster resilience and enable appropriate fiscal planning for transportation assets. Quantifying seismic vulnerability is hampered by:

- The overall quantity of bridges (over 2,800).
- The knowledge and effort required for the quantification.
- The degree of deterioration present in each bridge.
- The continually changing condition of the inventory.
- Limited guidance available suitable for low to moderate seismic regions, and for older deteriorated bridges.

1,000 year Return Period Seismic Hazard



The USGS indicates northwestern Vermont has the fifth highest seismic hazard potential in the continental U.S.

Vermont's seismic hazard results from seismicity in the St. Lawrence River valley region in Canada.




Figure 2.1 Central and Eastern North America earthquakes selected for inclusion in the NCEM-East ground motion database. The 1929 Grand Banks and 1985 Nahanni earthquakes are off this map and hence not shown.

Methodology for System-Wide Vulnerability Screening

Bridges 52N and 89 bracket the predominant span lengths and column heights of most Vermont multi-span bridges.




Span Length, Feet	Pier Height, Feet				
	15	20	25	30	35
30	0.15	0.22	0.31	0.40	0.50
40	0.18	0.25	0.34	0.45	0.56
50	0.18	0.27	0.37	0.49	0.61
60	0.19	0.29	0.40	0.52	0.66
70	0.21	0.31	0.43	0.56	0.70
80	0.22	0.33	0.45	0.59	0.74
90	0.23	0.35	0.48	0.62	0.78
100	0.24	0.36	0.50	0.65	0.82
110	0.25	0.38	0.52	0.68	0.85
120	0.26	0.39	0.54	0.71	0.89
130	0.27	0.41	0.56	0.74	0.92
140	0.28	0.42	0.58	0.76	0.95
150	0.29	0.44	0.60	0.79	0.98

1. Tn values computed for transverse stiffness of two pier concrete bent frame with no contribution from adjacent span sections accounted for.

Augment screening with non-linear finite-element seismic modeling of 70 ground motions on two bridges for pristine and spalled concrete bents for multiple girder bridges, which comprise 80% of the multi-span bridges.

Seismic Vulnerability Rating - Multiple Span Bridges

Vermont Rapid Seismic Screening Algorithm (VeRSSA) created in this study



Column Hinge Ductility

SAP 2000 FE Models



Bridge 89 on I-89 St. Albans



Bridge 52N on I-89 Richmond

NBI data with Vermont supplemental notation



Simple Spans



Two-girder






Skewed



Unstable Bearings

Screen inventory for seismic vulnerability characteristics



Bridge vulnerability depends most on geographic location, soil type, and bridge features.

The higher vulnerability bridges tend to be multi-span, non-continuous span bridges in north-western Vermont.

Acknowledgements - This work is funded by the Vermont Agency of Transportation with support from the Vermont Transportation Research Center.